·	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/664,959	KATSUYAMA, YUTAKA
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sherali Ishrat	2621
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) Sherali Ishrat.	(3)	
(2) Randall Becker.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 26 May 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1-35  Prior art documents discussed: Applied art in the previous office action  Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
1		
bhh	•	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed Applicant's Attorney that to place the application in condition for allowance, Applicant's need to cancel non-elected claims and claims 1-2, 6, 13-14, and 20-21 which are broad claims and can be rejected using prior art. Claims 15-19 and 22-23 have incorporated allowable subject matter and they are allowable over prior art of record. Examiner also informed Applicant Attorney that specification needs to be amended to incorporate continution/divional information i..e this application is divisional of application 09/ 228,427 which is now U.S patent 6701010. Applicant's Attorney agreed to cancel claims 1-14 and 24-35 and amend the specification to include continuation/divisional information by an examiner's amendment..